

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/714,043	11/17/2003	Robert Saunders Sherry	3210		
75	90 03/23/2005		EXAMINER		
Robert S. Sherry			SIKDER, MOHAMMAD YUNUS		
29 Parkman Pla Asheville, NC			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
,			2872		
		·	DATE MAILED: 03/23/2003	DATE MAILED: 03/23/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	(km)		
	10/714,043	SHERRY, ROBER	T SAUNDERS		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	MOHAMMAD Y. SIKDER	2872			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	lress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this coi D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 No.	ovember 2003.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	action is non-final.				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers		·			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National S	Stage		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	-152)		

DETAILED ACTION

An examination of this application reveals that applicant is unfamiliar with patent prosecution procedure. While an inventor may prosecute the application, lack of skill in this field usually acts as a liability in affording the maximum protection for the invention disclosed. Applicant is advised to secure the services of a registered patent attorney or agent to prosecute the application, since the value of a patent is largely dependent upon skilled preparation and prosecution. The Office cannot aid in selecting an attorney or agent.

Applicant is advised of the availability of the publication "Attorneys and Agents Registered to Practice Before the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office." This publication is for sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

Claims 1-5 are rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

The claim(s) are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. The claim(s) must be in one sentence form only. Note the format of the claims in the patent(s) cited.

Application/Control Number: 10/714,043 Page 3

Art Unit: 2872

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lupkas (5,691,855).

Lupkas (5,691,855) shows (see fig. 1):

- a) a device 22 utilizing a reversed application of the of the split-image range-finder concept tailored for use in quickly and accurately reporting the relative distance of another vehicle or an obstacle visible in a vehicle rear-view mirror, as claimed in claim 1,
- b) the device 22 is adjustable by the vehicle operator manually, as claimed in claim 3, c) the device 22 makes use of two divergent lines of sight, approximately horizontal determined by the setting of a primary rear-view mirror, and a second line of sight determined by the setting of the add-on mirror attached to the lower edge of the primary mirror and adjusted to reflect an image of a specific point selected by the user to the rear of the vehicle as claimed in claim 4,
- d) the combined existing 18 and add-on mirrors 22 above provide two views to the rear showing the object vehicle in the primary mirror, and, by the presence or absence of that image in the add-on mirror 22, precisely reporting the position of the vehicle or obstruction relative to the selected point in the rear, as claimed in claim 5.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lupkas (5,691,855) in view of Edelman (5,005,962).

As set forth above, Lupkas (5,691,855) discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for plastic material for the mirror..

Edelman (5,005,962) shows the use of plastic material for the mirror, see col. 3, lines 46-51 because of less expensive.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use plastic material for the mirror as disclosed by Edelman (5,005,962) in the device of Lupkas (5,691,855) to achieve the device as

Application/Control Number: 10/714,043 Page 5

Art Unit: 2872

claimed, because the use of such plastic material for the mirror is cheaper than glass

material.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 2870 by facsimile

transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 2870 via the PTO Fax center located in

the Crystal Plaza 4. Faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in

the official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CP-4 Fax Center number is

(703) 308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should

be directed to M. Sikder whose telephone number is (703) 305-5471.

MOHAMMAD SIKDER

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Sunday, March 20, 2005